

# Emergent Orange

Jim Bumgardner  
10066 Orcas Ave  
Shadow Hills, CA, 91040, USA  
E-mail: [jbum@jbum.com](mailto:jbum@jbum.com)  
Website: [krazydad.com](http://krazydad.com)

## Abstract

When the pixels of multiple uncorrelated digital photographs are averaged together, the resultant hue skews orange. The effect is also observed in human-generated digital artwork.

## Introduction

Since 2005, in the early days of Flickr, the social photography sharing website, I've been playing with combining large quantities of images in various ways. Fairly early on I discovered a group called Squared Circle that pools photographs that have nothing in common except that the images contain a large circle that fills a square frame (figure 1)



Figure 1. Images from Flickr's Squared Circle pool.

I produced a number of collages or mosaics using images from this pool (figure 2).



Figure 2. Mosaic produced from Squared Circle pool.

Another technique I tried was averaging these images together. I would produce a composite or amalgam image by blending the pixels to produce average RGB values for each pixel. For example, given 25 images, the red component of pixel[x,y] would be produced by averaging the red components of each of the 25 pixels in the same position in each of the 25 images. This produces a dull colored image (figure 3).




Figure 3. Average of 25 squared circle photos.

I would bring out the contrast by normalizing the components to 0-255, which increases the saturation (figure 4).



Figure 4. Normalized average of 25 squared circle photos.

I called these images "bronze shields" because they resembled primitive shields. I made a lot of them, and in doing so, I noticed a curious phenomenon (figure 5).



Figure 5. Twenty-five bronze shields, made from randomly selected Squared Circle images. These are each constructed from 25 images apiece.

Most of my bronze shields, even when made with as few as a dozen photos, tended to look orange! The more photos I used, the reliable the effect was (figure 6).



Figure 6. An assortment of bronze shields made from 625 photos each.

### Further Investigation

At the time, the production of this color, which I now call *emergent orange*, seemed very counter-intuitive to me. The squared circle photographs were essentially uncorrelated, albeit in a very non-rigorous way. The only thing tying them together was the presence of a circular shape (which clearly shows up in these averaged images).

I thought that maybe there was something about this particular set of photographs that looked orange, so I tried some other pools. I have found that every large Flickr pool I tried that didn't have a very strong intentional or subject-related color correlation (e.g. photos tagged "pink") produces this effect. Moreover, images collected from other sites, such as Instagram, or via Google Images also produce this effect.

I tried a number of specific digital image collections in an attempt to investigate some plausible theories as to what caused this orange color.

One theory, which is often proposed to me, is that a lot of photos contain humans, and that this color resembles flesh tones. However, I have also observed emergent orange in pools of photos that exclude humans, such as the Graffiti pool on Flickr (figures 7 and 8).




Figure 7. Images from the Graffiti pool on Flickr.




Figure 8. Each tile contains a normalized average of 625 photos from the Graffiti pool.

The level of saturation may differ, but the hue in these averaged images tends to fall within a fairly narrow area (figure 9).




Figure 9. Hue distribution for averaged pixels from the Graffiti pool, which cluster around  $21^\circ$ .

If I look at results from a few other large uncorrelated image sets, I find the average hue varies from about  $16^\circ$  to  $27^\circ$ , a range of about  $10^\circ$ .

Interestingly, I found it easier to obtain this result than to avoid it. I thought I could make it go away by combining a large collection of synthetic digital artwork (e.g. fractal renderings and other generative art). If this effect is caused by the nature of sunlight, or the chemical composition of the things being photographed, then perhaps synthetic abstract digital images wouldn't skew orange. Surprisingly, the pools of synthetic imagery that I found on Flickr do indeed skew orange (figure 10).




Figure 10. Averages of four different pools of digital abstract art found on Flickr. Pools used: Processing, CGArt, Computer Art Creations, Generative and Evolutionary Art

I find this effect in synthetic color and art collections particularly counter-intuitive. Perhaps it demonstrates that human abstract art intentionally or unintentionally mimics the colors found in the natural world.

Just to make sure I wasn't going crazy, I did an abstract art test of my own, in which the source artwork was generated with the aid of a pseudo-random number generator (PRNG), rather than a human. Amalgams produced using these source images, finally, showed no orange bias (figures 11 and 12).






Figure 11. Twenty-five simple images of a circle, produced using a PRNG. Each image contains a single circle, of random size and position. The foreground and background colors are randomly selected from all possible values.




Figure 12. Normalized average of 2,000 randomly generated images, as from figure 11.

## Discussion

Since 2005, I have demonstrated the emergent orange effect a few times, and have heard a number of theories as to what causes it. Some of these theories are mutually conflicting. I'll offer a few of them here. Thus far, the theories fall into four general classes.

- 1) It's not real - it's an artifact of my method. For example, it's caused by JPEG compression, or has something to do with my choice of R,G,B space for my averaging.
  
- 2) It's caused by humans. For example, humans are making (and photographing) colors which contrast against the green of plants and the blue of the sky. Or it is somehow related to human color perception.
  
- 3) It's caused by the way cameras work. One suggestion is that it caused by white balance on cameras. One correspondent writes "Another point to think is the white balance and it's relation to the black body spectrum of illuminants used. ...the collective camera of the group under-estimates the color temperature of the illuminant, the colors won't be pushed far enough into the blue range when the white balance compensation is performed. And everything shows up more reddish-orangish."
  
- 4) It's caused by chemistry & physics. The amalgam photos are functioning as a kind of mass spectrometer, reflecting the average chemical composition of the subjects being photographed.

Of these various theories, I find #1 the least convincing. Via testing, I have informally ruled out JPEG compression as the culprit. I have tested some other color spaces (CIE XYZ, YIQ and HSB) and obtained the same brown/orange shift. For color spaces such as HSB or HSL, which represent hue and saturation as polar coordinates, you have to convert them to cartesian coordinates before the averaging operation.

I find #4 the most convincing. It is not necessarily mutually exclusive with some of the others (e.g. the chemistry of the earth/sun affects human choices and camera design).

As one friend pointed out, the brown I see in my unnormalized amalgams is "the color of dirt". It's the color of earth. I am making earth tones. (figure 3).

I must admit, I also like another theory:

- 5) Every large collection of photographs must contain at least a few buddhist monks, who wear bright saffron robes.

## Directions for Further Work

This is not intended to be a particularly rigorous paper. I am not trained as a scientist, and the images I made intentionally straddle the boundaries between science and art. Nonetheless, I can unequivocally state that the emergent orange effect is real and persistent. As I have pointed out, it is kind of hard to avoid, once you start averaging images together. It would be interesting to find a more rigorous way to confirm and measure it.

I imagine that people who have a deeper understanding of chemistry, optics and human color perception may have a fairly simple, prosaic explanation for this effect. If so, I'd love to hear about it.

Interesting, and perhaps purely coincidentally, the hue value which is directly opposite emergent orange on the hue wheel is a close match for the color of the sky. The five year old in me still wants to know why the sky is blue.

Jim Bumgardner

[jbum@jbum.com](mailto:jbum@jbum.com)

11/30/2013 - First draft

12/2//2013 - Added some theories, mentioned RGB at end.

12/3/2013 - Provided some results for other color spaces.

Source code for reproducing this effect is available from the author upon request.